



# A Review Study on Ozone Phytotoxicity Metrics for Setting Critical Levels in Asia

Evgenios Agathokleous<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Mitsutoshi Kitao<sup>1</sup> and Yoshiyuki Kinose<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hokkaido Research Center, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute (FFPRI), Forest Research and Management Organization, 7 Hitsujigaoka, Sapporo, Hokkaido 062-8516, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Research Faculty of Agriculture, School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita 9 Nishi 9, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan

<sup>3</sup>College of Agriculture, Ibaraki University, 3-21-1 Chuo, Ami, Inashiki, Ibaraki 300-0393, Japan

\*Corresponding author. Tel: +81-11-851-4131, E-mail: [globalscience@frontier.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:globalscience@frontier.hokudai.ac.jp), [evgenios@ffpri.affrc.go.jp](mailto:evgenios@ffpri.affrc.go.jp)

## ABSTRACT

Ground-level ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) can be a menace for vegetation, especially in Asia where O<sub>3</sub> levels have been dramatically increased over the past decades. To ensure food security and maintain forest ecosystem services, such as nutrient cycling, carbon sequestration and functional diversity of soil biota, in the over-populated Asia, environmental standards are needed. To set proper standards, dose-response relationships should be established from which critical levels are derived. The predictor of the response in the dose-response relationship is an O<sub>3</sub> metric that indicates the dose level to which the plant has been exposed. This study aimed to review the relevant scientific literature and summarize the O<sub>3</sub> metrics used worldwide to provide insights for Asia. A variety of O<sub>3</sub> metrics have been used, for which we discuss their strengths and weaknesses. The most widely used metrics are based only on O<sub>3</sub> levels. Such metrics have been adopted by several regulatory agencies in the global. However, they are biologically irrelevant because they ignore the plant physiological capacity. Adopting AOT40 (O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios Accumulated Over the Threshold of 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) as the default index for setting critical levels in Asia would be a poor policy with severe consequences at national and Pan-Asian level. Asian studies should focus on flux-based O<sub>3</sub> metrics to provide relevant bases for developing proper standards. However, given the technical requirements in calculating flux-based O<sub>3</sub> metrics, which can be an important limitation in developing countries, no-threshold cumulative exposure indices like AOT0 should always accompany flux-based indices.

**Key words:** AFst6, Air pollution, AOT40, Critical levels, Dose-response, DO<sub>3</sub>SE, Flux, Metrics, MPOC, Ozone, PODY, SUM06, Threshold, Uptake, W126

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) levels at the lower troposphere throughout the Northern Hemisphere have been dramatically increased compared to the pre-industrial ones (Sicard *et al.*, 2016; Saitanis *et al.*, 2015; Kalabokas *et al.*, 2013; Vingarzan, 2004; Akimoto, 2003). Notably, Asia, including China and India, is currently a “hot spot” of air pollution, with O<sub>3</sub> levels in some regions exceeding by far the critical levels (CL) of the standards set for protecting vegetation (Kitao *et al.*, 2016; Feng *et al.*, 2015; Kim *et al.*, 2015; Komatsu *et al.*, 2015; Verstraeten *et al.*, 2015; Oksanen *et al.*, 2013; Takigawa *et al.*, 2009). Such increases in O<sub>3</sub> levels may detrimentally affect plants and thereby reduce yields, and alter their quality, of major crop plants used for the feeding needs of humanity and other animals (Osborne *et al.*, 2016; Tian *et al.*, 2016; Broberg *et al.*, 2015; Feng *et al.*, 2015, 2008; McGrath *et al.*, 2015; Wilkinson *et al.*, 2012; Morgan *et al.*, 2003). It may thus be a challenge to adequately feed the increasing population of Asia under the scenario of O<sub>3</sub>-induced losses in yields (Lu *et al.*, 2015). O<sub>3</sub>-induced losses in yields in Asia (Wang and Mauzerall, 2004) and globally (Avnery *et al.*, 2011) associate with an economic cost of billions of US\$. Furthermore, chronic exposure of natural vegetation to such potentially phytotoxic O<sub>3</sub> levels may pose a threat for the sustainability of plant communities and ecosystems (Agathokleous *et al.*, 2016, 2015; Chappelka and Grulke, 2016; Koike *et al.*, 2013; Lindroth, 2010; Cape, 2008; Ashmore, 2005; Matyssek and Innes, 1999).

The most critical information when studying O<sub>3</sub> effects on plants is the O<sub>3</sub> phytotoxicity metric utilized (Matyssek *et al.*, 2007; Paoletti and Manning, 2007; Musselman *et al.*, 2006). The O<sub>3</sub> metric is considered a versatile tool that can be utilized for: a) providing information about the exposure of plants to O<sub>3</sub>; b) establishing dose-response relationships, and thus

serving as a predictor of plant response along the full dose-response continuum; c) conducting risk assessment and setting CL for protecting vegetation against adverse effects caused by O<sub>3</sub>; and d) communicating meaningful information to policy and decision makers for setting environmental standards. O<sub>3</sub> metrics should be thus carefully selected and used in a united manner so as to contribute in adopting appropriate and effective standards at national and Pan-Asian level.

In this study we aim to provide the bases for future research on the assessment of O<sub>3</sub> impacts on Asian vegetation. In wide regions of Asia, summertime precipitation may be high, a phenomenon which relates to Asian summer monsoons (ASM) (Shi *et al.*, 2017; Ha *et al.*, 2012; Chang, 2004). Despite ASM may contribute to decreasing O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios, such a potential decrease is of a small order, and thus O<sub>3</sub> will remain at potentially phytotoxic levels (Surendran *et al.*, 2016). Synchronous summertime precipitation and high O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios may pose a risk for O<sub>3</sub> phytotoxicity in Asian vegetation due to a greater stomatal O<sub>3</sub> uptake. We thus review the relevant scientific peer-reviewed literature and summarize the current global knowledge on the O<sub>3</sub> metrics to provide insights for Asia.

## 2. O<sub>3</sub> PHYTOTOXICITY METRICS

In the present paper, mixing ratios with a unit of nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> were used to express the level of O<sub>3</sub> in the air. Although the term of “concentration” is often used in many papers, it is inaccurately defined as in most cases. The definition of “concentration” is mass per volume. On the other hand, O<sub>3</sub> monitoring systems measure in mixing ratios defined as the abundance (number of O<sub>3</sub> moles) of O<sub>3</sub> relative to air (per mole of air).

A series of metrics have been proposed and used for assessing the potential O<sub>3</sub> injury to vegetation, each of which has its own strengths and weaknesses (Table 1). These metrics are mainly used for setting CL for protecting vegetation based on the O<sub>3</sub> exposure (CLE<sub>e</sub>) or the accumulated stomatal O<sub>3</sub> flux (CLE<sub>f</sub>). The historical foundations of the basic metrics can be found in earlier articles (Musselman *et al.*, 2006; Pleijel *et al.*, 2004; Wang and Mauzerall, 2004; Danielsson *et al.*, 2003; Grünhage *et al.*, 1999; Fuhrer *et al.*, 1997). Yet, explanations about specialized definitions which have been cited in this article can be found in Musselman *et al.* (2006).

In Asia, parameterization of models of stomatal conductance to water vapor ( $g_s$ ), which can be used for CLE<sub>f</sub> approaches, development of dose-response relationships, and derivation of CLE<sub>e</sub> or CLE<sub>f</sub> have been

mainly conducted in China (Shang *et al.*, 2017; Yuan *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Hu *et al.*, 2015; Feng *et al.*, 2012; Oue *et al.*, 2011, 2009, 2008; Wang and Mauzerall, 2004) and Japan (Kinose *et al.*, 2017, 2014; Kitao *et al.*, 2016, 2014; Watanabe *et al.*, 2016, 2012, 2011, 2010; Hoshika *et al.*, 2015a, b, 2013b, 2012a; Azuchi *et al.*, 2014; Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2014; Watanabe and Yamaguchi, 2011) during the last decade. Amongst these studies, just few deal with the establishment of dose-response relationships and derivation of CL (Kinose *et al.*, 2017; Shang *et al.*, 2017; Yuan *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Hu *et al.*, 2015; Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2014; Feng *et al.*, 2012; Watanabe *et al.*, 2012, 2011, 2010; Wang and Mauzerall, 2004).

## 3. CLe<sub>e</sub>

Exposure-based metrics were the first O<sub>3</sub> metrics used by the scientific community of air pollution. This category of metrics takes into account only the O<sub>3</sub> levels.

### 3.1 AOT40

The first and most widely accepted and used metric is the AOTX (O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios Accumulated Over the Threshold of X nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>), set for long-term O<sub>3</sub> exposures. AOTX is practically the sum of exceedances of daytime (solar radiation > 50 W m<sup>-2</sup>) hourly O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios above a threshold of X nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, for a given exposure period (Grünhage *et al.*, 1999; Fuhrer *et al.*, 1997; Kärenlampi and Skärby, 1996). The X threshold is commonly set at 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (AOT40), and the AOT40 is thus calculated according to the formula:

$$\text{AOT40} = \sum_{i=1}^n ([\text{O}_3] - 40)_i \text{ for } [\text{O}_3] > 40 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$$

where:  $i$  = the running index and  $n$  = the number of hours with  $[\text{O}_3] > 40 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ . The units of AOT40 are nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h (classically ppb h). The historical foundations of AOT40 have been previously explained (Fuhrer *et al.*, 1997). AOT40 CL have been adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) (World Health Organization (WHO), 2000). The critical AOT40 level (= 5 or 10% yield reduction) for agricultural crops and semi-natural vegetation has been set at 3000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h. This level should not be exceeded during the running 3-month growing season of plants (e.g. May-July for central Europe), for protection against long-term ambient O<sub>3</sub> adverse effects (Kärenlampi and Skärby, 1996). For forests, the UN-ECE set the CL at 10000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h

**Table 1.** Strengths, weaknesses and caution points of the main metrics used for setting critical levels for protecting vegetation based on the O<sub>3</sub> exposure (CLE<sub>e</sub>) or the accumulated stomatal O<sub>3</sub> flux (CLE<sub>f</sub>).

	Strengths	Weaknesses	Cautions
CLE <sub>e</sub>			
AOT40	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Easy to calculate, only O<sub>3</sub> levels data are needed (Fuhrer <i>et al.</i>, 1997; Grünhage <i>et al.</i>, 1999; Kärenlampi and Skärby, 1996).</li> <li>2) It has been widely reported in the literature</li> <li>3) Adopted by several regulatory agencies (without meaning that this is the appropriate policy)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) O<sub>3</sub> levels are not weighed based on the plant ontogenic stage</li> <li>2) Environmental constraints to O<sub>3</sub> uptake into leaf tissue are ignored; it is thus biologically unrealistic (Danielsson <i>et al.</i>, 2003; Gerosa <i>et al.</i>, 2005; Grünhage and Jäger, 2003; Harmens <i>et al.</i>, 2007; Karlsson <i>et al.</i>, 2007b; Matyssek <i>et al.</i>, 2004; Paoletti and Manning, 2007; Sanz <i>et al.</i>, 2016)</li> <li>3) Ineffective in regions with low O<sub>3</sub> pollution</li> <li>4) Highly overestimated O<sub>3</sub> effects in regions with dry climates (Gerosa <i>et al.</i>, 2005)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) O<sub>3</sub> levels should be those at the top of the plant canopy (Grünhage <i>et al.</i>, 1999; Hicks <i>et al.</i>, 1987)</li> <li>2) Deposition models may be used to estimate the O<sub>3</sub> levels at the top of the plant canopy from the O<sub>3</sub> levels at a different height (Emberson <i>et al.</i>, 2000a, 2000b; Simpson <i>et al.</i>, 2012)</li> <li>3) Improvements may be applied for toxicological effectiveness (Grünhage <i>et al.</i>, 1999; Musselman <i>et al.</i>, 2006)</li> <li>4) Higher values may occur along coastlines (Anav <i>et al.</i>, 2016)</li> <li>5) Care should be exercised factors which may cause stomatal limitation, such as soil unavailability and acidification, to be controlled in experiments (Azuchi <i>et al.</i>, 2014; Gerosa <i>et al.</i>, 2005)</li> </ol>
SUM06	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Easy to calculate, only O<sub>3</sub> levels data are needed</li> </ol>	Same as 1, 2, 3 and 4 in AOT40	Same as 1, 2 and 5 in AOT40
W126	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Easy to calculate, only O<sub>3</sub> levels data are needed (Lefohn <i>et al.</i>, 1988; Lefohn and Runeckles, 1987; Musselman <i>et al.</i>, 2006; Wang and Mauzerall, 2004).</li> <li>2) More realistic than AOT40 and SUM06</li> <li>3) More effective than AOT40 and SUM06 in regions with low pollution</li> <li>4) It has been adopted by some regulatory agencies</li> </ol>	Same as 2 in AOT40	Same as 1, 2 and 5 in AOT40
CLE <sub>f</sub>			
DO <sub>3</sub> SE	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) It takes into account environmental factors and vegetation properties (Anav <i>et al.</i>, 2016; De Marco <i>et al.</i>, 2016)</li> <li>2) Species-specific responses to O<sub>3</sub> in multispecies cultures are meaningful and realistic, in contrast to CLE<sub>e</sub> approaches, because the O<sub>3</sub> uptake into the leaf tissue depends on species-specific physiology (Calvete-Sogo <i>et al.</i>, 2017)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) More complex calculation than CLE<sub>e</sub> approaches (Emberson <i>et al.</i>, 2000a)</li> <li>2) Several factors should be monitored and more data should be input in the model than CLE<sub>e</sub> approaches (Anav <i>et al.</i>, 2016; De Marco <i>et al.</i>, 2016; Emberson <i>et al.</i>, 2000a)</li> <li>3) Efficiency depends on environmental conditions which affect plant physiology (Hu <i>et al.</i>, 2015)</li> </ol>	

Table 1. Continued.

	Strengths	Weaknesses	Cautions
AFstY	1) Same as 1 in AOT40 (Emberson <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Karlsson <i>et al.</i> , 2007b; Pleijel <i>et al.</i> , 2007) 2) More effective than the original DO <sub>3</sub> SE because it implements the delimitation of the exposure period (Emberson <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Karlsson <i>et al.</i> , 2007b; Pleijel <i>et al.</i> , 2007) 3) Biologically more meaningful because plant ontogeny is considered with regards to max stomatal conductance (Pleijel <i>et al.</i> , 2007) 4) Same as 2 in DO <sub>3</sub> SE	Same as 1 and 2 in DO <sub>3</sub> SE	
POD <sub>Y</sub>	1) Same as 1 in AOT40 (CLRTAP, 2015; Matussek <i>et al.</i> , 2004) 2) Same as 2 in DO <sub>3</sub> SE	Same as 1 and 2 in DO <sub>3</sub> SE	1) Higher values may occur along coastlines (Anav <i>et al.</i> , 2016) 2) Soil water and nitrogen availability should be taken into consideration (Anav <i>et al.</i> , 2016; De Marco <i>et al.</i> , 2016) 3) Potential site-specificity (Azuchi <i>et al.</i> , 2014; De Marco <i>et al.</i> , 2016; González-Fernández <i>et al.</i> , 2017)

over the six months of the active growth of trees. In Europe, 9000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h (3-month, averaged over five years) have been proposed as target value, whereas 3000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h (3-month) have been proposed as long-term objective for protecting vegetation (“Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe,” n.d.).

For acute O<sub>3</sub> toxicity, 5-day AOT40<sub>VPD</sub> CL, which take into consideration the vapor pressure deficit (VPD), have been proposed (Kärenlampi and Skärby, 1996). These levels are 500 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h over five days with VPD greater than 1.5 kPa, or, 200 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h over five days with VPD lower than 1.5 kPa.

A similar VPD correction can be used also for chronic exposures. Hourly O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios [O<sub>3</sub>] can be multiplied by relevant hourly  $f_{VPD}$  factors (Spranger *et al.*, 2004) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{VPD} &= 1 \quad (VPD < 1.1 \text{ kPa}) \\
 f_{VPD} &= -1.1 \cdot VPD + 2.2 \quad (1.1 \text{ kPa} \leq VPD \leq 1.9 \text{ kPa}) \\
 f_{VPD} &= 0.02 \quad (VPD > 1.9 \text{ kPa})
 \end{aligned}$$

AOT40 should be calculated using O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios at the upper boundary of the quasi-laminar layer (top of the plant canopy) when the micro-meteorological approach of big-leaf is applied (Grünhage *et al.*, 1999; Hicks *et al.*, 1987); this requirement that has been often

overlooked in the relevant literature. It has been demonstrated that failure to properly compute AOT40 with O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios from a reference height may result in dramatic overestimates in potential yield which are unrealistic (Grünhage *et al.*, 1999). However, O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio at measurement height can be effectively converted (approximation) to O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio at the height of canopy top. This can be done using a relevant deposition model which is chosen based on the availability of meteorological data (Simpson *et al.*, 2012; Emberson *et al.*, 2000a, b).

In polluted regions, AOT40 critical values for chronic exposure can be exceeded even in individual months (Agathokleous *et al.*, 2017; Deb Roy *et al.*, 2009). Here we suggest that the AOT40 could be calculated on a monthly base and then a simple weighted correction could be applied for better reflecting the O<sub>3</sub> risk. This correction could be done by multiplying the total AOT40 value by the number of months AOT40 critical value was individually exceeded. For a final AOT40 value where the critical value was not exceeded in individual months, the AOT40 would be multiplied by 1; for a final AOT40 value where the critical value was exceeded in one individual month the AOT40 would be multiplied by 2; for a final AOT40 value where the critical value was exceeded in two individual months the AOT40 would be multiplied by 3; etc. This is

because exceeding the AOT40 critical values in just a month is likely to exert more pressure on plants than it would happen if the AOT40 critical value is exceeded over three or six months.

AOT40 has been used in several studies because of its simplicity in calculation. However, AOT40 has been questioned because it considers only ambient O<sub>3</sub> levels but ignores environmental constraints to O<sub>3</sub> uptake through stomata like ambient temperature and water availability (Sanz *et al.*, 2016; Harmens *et al.*, 2007; Karlsson *et al.*, 2007b; Paoletti and Manning, 2007; Gerosa *et al.*, 2005; Matyssek *et al.*, 2004; Danielsson *et al.*, 2003; Grünhage and Jäger, 2003). For instance, a study in Japan suggested that an area with high O<sub>3</sub> exposure does not necessarily correspond to a high risk of O<sub>3</sub> impact because the impacts depend on the environmental conditions at the habitat, plant sensitivity to O<sub>3</sub> and plant physiological capacity (Watanabe *et al.*, 2011). AOT40 may also be effective in highly polluted areas but ineffective in less polluted areas. One important limitation is that O<sub>3</sub> levels may exceed the threshold of 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> only after noon hours when *g<sub>s</sub>* starts decreasing (Agathokleous *et al.*, 2017; Cassimiro *et al.*, 2016), thus less O<sub>3</sub> enters plant tissues despite higher O<sub>3</sub> levels. Still, as it takes into account only O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios greater than 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, it suggests there is no phytotoxicity at lower O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios. However, recent progress in air pollution science suggests that O<sub>3</sub> can cause adverse effects to sensitive vegetation at levels lower than 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> e.g. (Sugai *et al.*, 2018; Agathokleous *et al.*, 2015; Grünhage *et al.*, 2001). It is also known that vegetation may be “more sensitive to long-term exposure to modest O<sub>3</sub> levels (characterized by seasonal means) than frequent exposure to high O<sub>3</sub> levels (which are best captured by cumulative indices)” (Wang and Mauzerall, 2004). Hence, AOT40 may be unrealistic and lead to misleading assessment of O<sub>3</sub> impacts to sensitive vegetation which is negatively affected by O<sub>3</sub> levels below 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>. Adopting AOT40 as the default index for setting CL in Asia would be a poor policy with severe consequences at national and Pan-Asian level. It should be mentioned that thresholds higher than 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> have been considered as well. For instance, in the experiment of Feng *et al.* (2012), when AOT was calculated with thresholds ranging from 55 to 85 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, it outperformed AOT40 in the exposure-response relationships. However, increasing the threshold does not provide a solution to the limitations explained above.

Instead of AOT40, AOT0 could be more effectively used (Azuchi *et al.*, 2014). There is a preliminary evidence showing that AOT0 may predict the severity of the O<sub>3</sub>-induced injury (number of injured leaves/total

number of leaves on the injured plants × 100) better than AOT40 and Phytotoxic Ozone Dose (POD) indices (Cassimiro *et al.*, 2016). In contrast to AOT40, AOT0 does not ignore low O<sub>3</sub> levels and could be thus more effective in any regions. Furthermore, since it takes into account low O<sub>3</sub> levels, AOT0 can yield more realistic exposure-response relationships when physiological endpoints are the matter of study; it is shown that physiological endpoints display dynamic responses to stressors which vary across the entire exposure-response continuum.

More details on useful improvements for toxicologically effective AOT40 can be found in earlier articles (Musselman *et al.*, 2006; Grünhage *et al.*, 1999).

AOT40 metric dominates the literature with Asian studies. Several experiments with crop plants conducted in Asia utilize AOT40 for deriving CLe<sub>c</sub>. Experimenting with Chinese wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L. cvs.) in a Free Air Controlled Exposure (FACE) system, Oue *et al.* (2011) revealed cultivar-specific exposure responses of photosynthesis and stomatal aperture. In a further study with Chinese wheat cultivars (Feng *et al.*, 2012), the slope between relative yield and AOT40 was significantly larger than European ones (Mills *et al.*, 2007) even at shorter time period. This study also suggested that these Asian wheat cultivars are more sensitive to O<sub>3</sub> than North American ones. Two modern Indian wheat cultivars were also assessed as to their sensitivity to O<sub>3</sub> using open top chambers (OTCs) in Varanasi, India (Sarkar and Agrawal, 2010): AOT40 CLe<sub>c</sub> for 5% reduction in yield was same to that for temperate wheat in Europe (Mills *et al.*, 2007). In a different OTC experiment in China, four soybean cultivars (*Glycine max* L. Merr.), typical in Northeast China, were studied (Zhang *et al.*, 2017): AOT40 CLe<sub>c</sub> for 5% reduction in relative seed yield was similar to that for soybean in Europe (Mills *et al.*, 2007). Regarding trees, Yamaguchi *et al.* (2011) summarized various study examples of O<sub>3</sub> impact assessment in tree seedlings using AOT40 in Japan and presented exposure-response relationships with AOT40 as predictor of relative whole-plant dry matter of Japanese larch (*Larix kaempferi* (Lamb.) Carr.) and Japanese beech (*Fagus crenata* Blume) seedlings under different soil nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) availability. A further OTC experiment was conducted with five poplar clones in China (Hu *et al.*, 2015). The AOT40-based CLe<sub>c</sub> derived from exposure-response relationship was 12,000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h for 5% reduction in total biomass across clones, whereas the AOT40 value in the experimental site in China was 40,500 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h, which translates to 16.7% loss of total biomass (Hu *et al.*, 2015). These values exceed by far the values set by worldwide regulatory agencies for protecting vegetation (discussed

above). Two poplar clones were also studied in a different experiment employing five O<sub>3</sub> exposure levels in OTCs in China (Shang *et al.*, 2017). AOT40 CLe<sub>e</sub> for 5% reduction in total biomass, photosynthetic parameters and leaf mass per area (LMA) for the two clones were 14,800, 4,000 and 5,800 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h, respectively (Shang *et al.*, 2017).

### 3.2 SUM06 and W126

Other than AOTX CLe<sub>e</sub> indices based on cumulative exposure have been also used. Two examples of indices are the sum of all hourly average O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios ≥ 0.06 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (SUM06), and the sigmoidally weighed W126 which includes lower O<sub>3</sub> levels but assigns greater weight to higher mean hourly O<sub>3</sub> levels (Lefohn *et al.*, 1988; Lefohn and Runeckles, 1987). The formulas are:

$$SUM06 = \sum_{i=1}^n [O_3]_i \text{ for } [O_3] \geq 0.06 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$W126 = \sum_{i=1}^n w [O_3]_i$$

For SUM06, [O<sub>3</sub>] is the hourly mean O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio (μmol mol<sup>-1</sup>), *i* the index and *n* the total number of hours in three consecutive months for which the SUM06 value is greatest. For W126, [O<sub>3</sub>] and *i* are same as for SUM06 but without a threshold value. W126 rather employs *w* which is the weighting factor for the *i*th hour:

$$w_i = 1/[1 + M \times \exp(-A \times [O_3]_i)]$$

where *M* and *A* are the arbitrary constants 4403 and 0.123 and [O<sub>3</sub>]<sub>*i*</sub> the O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio *i*. The units are μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h (classically ppm h). More explanations on the calculations and the historical bases can be found elsewhere (Musselman *et al.*, 2006; Wang and Mauzerall, 2004; Lefohn *et al.*, 1988; Lefohn and Runeckles, 1987).

The SUM06 index has not prevailed in the literature. The W126 index has been adopted by regulatory agencies in North America, where the standard for protection has been set at or below a range of 13,000-17,000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h (3-year average). W126 is calculated based on a sigmoidally weighted sum of all hourly mixing ratios observed during a particular daily and seasonal time window, with each ratio having a weight increasing from 0 to 1 with increasing ratio ("National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone; Final Rule," 2015).

Recently, Zhang *et al.* (2017) reported that a SUM06 CLe<sub>e</sub> of 7,600 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h and a W126 CLe<sub>e</sub> of 6,800 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h relates to 5% reduction in relative seed yield of soybean cultivated in Northeast China (explained above in AOT40).

### 3.3 MPOC

An alternative approach is the Maximum Permissible Ozone Concentration (MPOC), which can be used mainly for trees and at national level (Grünhage *et al.*, 2001). This approach requires transforming O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios from a reference height to the canopy top, and thus has been characterized as more realistic (Grünhage *et al.*, 2001). However, MPOC has not been prevailed and AOT40 has hitherto dominated the literature.

## 4. CLe<sub>f</sub>

Plants have tiny pores, called stomata (from the Greek *στόματα*, "mouths"), through which exchange gases with the atmosphere (Cieslik *et al.*, 2009). Although plants have stomata on a series of organs, leaf epidermis accounts for the vast majority of the total number of stomata. The functioning of stomata defines the amount of O<sub>3</sub> that does enter into the plant tissues (Vaultier and Jolivet, 2015): The greater the number or size of stomata is, or the longer the stomata remain open, a greater amount of O<sub>3</sub> enters the plant tissues. This indicates that higher O<sub>3</sub> level does not always induce higher stomatal O<sub>3</sub> flux when stomata are closed (Kinose *et al.*, 2014; Kitao *et al.*, 2014; Gerosa *et al.*, 2005). Hence, O<sub>3</sub> metrics which take into account the O<sub>3</sub> dose or flux in plants have been developed.

CLe<sub>f</sub> approaches are considered superior to CLe<sub>e</sub> approaches (Karlsson *et al.*, 2007b). This assumption relies on underlining plant physiological mechanisms according to which plant response is driven by the O<sub>3</sub> dose absorbed into the leaf tissue. Therefore plant response has been defined as (Grünhage *et al.*, 1999):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{plant response} &= f_1(\text{PAD}(\text{O}_3)) \\ &= f_1\left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |f_{\text{absorbed}}(\text{O}_3)| \times dt\right) \end{aligned}$$

where PAD is the pollutant absorbed dose (Fowler and Cape, 1982).

However, CLe<sub>f</sub> approaches are technically more difficult because of the information needed in the *information processing system*. One important aspect for the CLe<sub>f</sub> approaches is the soil moisture which should be implemented when setting CL (De Marco *et al.*, 2016; Pleijel *et al.*, 2004).

Stomatal conductance is the most critical parameter because it defines the O<sub>3</sub> dose entering into the plant tissues. Hence, extensive research has been done on modeling and parameterization of *g<sub>s</sub>* at global level. The most common *g<sub>s</sub>* model is the empirical multiplicative *g<sub>s</sub>* model of Jarvis (Jarvis, 1976), which has been advanced for calculating stomatal O<sub>3</sub> flux (Hoshika *et*

*et al.*, 2017b; Marzuoli *et al.*, 2017; Kinose *et al.*, 2014; Oue *et al.*, 2011, 2009, 2008; Danielsson *et al.*, 2003; Emberson *et al.*, 2000a); Jarvis model is adopted by UNECE-CLRTAP. An alternative semi-empirical approach is that of Ball-Woodrow-Berry (BWB), which assumes that  $g_s$  is closely associated with photosynthesis and does not depend on maximum  $g_s$  ( $g_{max}$ ) like Jarvis model (Ball *et al.*, 1987). The BWB model is similarly effective with Jarvis model in  $O_3$  risk assessment (Hoshika *et al.*, 2017b; Kinose *et al.*, 2017; Kitao *et al.*, 2016). Improvements in BWB have been later proposed, such as including the leaf-to-air vapor pressure deficit (Leuning, 1995) and gross assimilation rate (Yu *et al.*, 2001) rather than relative humidity and net photosynthetic rate. A potential  $O_3$  avoidance mechanism of plants to avoid  $O_3$  is by closing stomata, thereby decreasing  $g_s$  and consequently  $O_3$  uptake.  $O_3$  itself may induce stomatal closure (Shang *et al.*, 2017; Hoshika *et al.*, 2013b; Kitao *et al.*, 2009; Wittig *et al.*, 2007) or delay stomata response to environmental stimuli, so called stomatal sluggishness (Hoshika *et al.*, 2013a, 2012b; Mills *et al.*, 2009; McAinsh *et al.*, 2002). However, other environmental factors than  $O_3$ , e.g. soil water unavailability, can affect stomata in a similar way with  $O_3$  and at even a considerably greater extent than  $O_3$  (Cotrozzi *et al.*, 2017, 2016; Alexou, 2013; Hoshika *et al.*, 2013a). Hence, when developing  $g_s$  models for estimating  $O_3$  uptake, environmental factors inducing stomatal closure, such as N load-induced soil acidification (Azuchi *et al.*, 2014) and soil water limitation (De Marco *et al.*, 2016), should be taken into account. Soil water availability is a very critical driver of  $O_3$  uptake by plants as can either increase or decrease  $g_s$ . Limitation to  $g_s$  by soil water unavailability may not occur in some Asian regions like Japan (Hiyama *et al.*, 2005) but may commonly occur in other Asian regions like China (Tian *et al.*, 2016; Qiu, 2010). As drought may greatly diminish the uptake of  $O_3$  by plants, care should be exercised to take into account stomatal closure due to soil water limitation when conducting  $O_3$  risk assessment in Asian regions where drought commonly occurs.

#### 4.1 DO<sub>3</sub>SE

The stomatal flux-based model called Deposition of  $O_3$  and Stomatal Exchange (DO<sub>3</sub>SE) has been proposed as an alternative to AOT40 (Emberson *et al.*, 2000a). DO<sub>3</sub>SE takes into account environmental factors and vegetation properties in addition to ambient  $O_3$  levels (Anav *et al.*, 2016; De Marco *et al.*, 2016). Species-specific effects of soil water availability, air temperature, vapor pressure deficit, irradiation, plant phenology and stomatal functioning, are factors taken into account. DO<sub>3</sub>SE, as it has been originally proposed,

has not prevailed in the literature.

#### 4.2 AFstY

The cumulative leaf uptake of  $O_3$  per total leaf area over time (CUO) has been proposed as an effective adjustment of the Emberson calibration (Emberson *et al.*, 2000a) of the multiplicative  $g_s$  for crops and trees, especially for a cumulated period lasted from anthesis to harvest for crops (Karlsson *et al.*, 2004a, 2004b; Danielsson *et al.*, 2003). Based on the CUO concept, the index of accumulated stomatal flux above a flux rate threshold  $Y$  (AFstY) has been developed which, in contrast to AOT40, implements the delimitation of the exposure period (Emberson *et al.*, 2007; Karlsson *et al.*, 2007b; Pleijel *et al.*, 2007). AFstY is calculated (Emberson *et al.*, 2007) as:

$$AFstY = \sum_{i=1}^n [Fst_i - Y] \text{ for } Fst_i \geq Y \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ PLA s}^{-1}$$

where  $Y$  is a defined flux rate threshold,  $n$  is the number of hours within the accumulation period,  $Fst_i$  the hourly mean  $O_3$  flux ( $\text{nmol } O_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ PLA s}^{-1}$ ), and PLA the projected plant leaf area. AFstY is more plant-relevant than AOT and takes into account the influence of plant ontogeny on  $g_{max}$  (Pleijel *et al.*, 2007). Accumulated stomatal  $O_3$  flux above a flux rate threshold of  $6 \text{ nmol } O_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ projected sunlit leaf area s}^{-1}$ , based on  $O_3$  flux hourly values ( $AF_{st,6}$ ) or above a flux rate threshold of  $1.6 \text{ nmol } O_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ projected sunlit leaf area s}^{-1}$  ( $AF_{st,1.6}$ ) have been applied to crops (Fuhrer, 2009; Oue *et al.*, 2008; Pleijel *et al.*, 2007) or trees (Emberson *et al.*, 2007; Karlsson *et al.*, 2007b).

#### 4.3 POD<sub>Y</sub>

The air pollution scientific community and the UN/ECE have put effort in the past decade to redefine  $O_3$  CL for protecting vegetation using POD, based on the cumulated stomatal  $O_3$  (CLRTAP, 2015; Matyssek *et al.*, 2004). The effort of UN/ECE is being a part of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP), which is implemented by the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) and directed by the UN/ECE. The mission of CLRTAP is to protect the human environment against air pollution and to gradually reduce and prevent air pollution, including long-range trans-boundary air pollution. POD<sub>Y</sub> (POD above a threshold flux of  $Y \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ PLA s}^{-1}$ ) has been widely used for deriving CL for crop plants, pastures and trees (Calvete-Sogo *et al.*, 2017; Marzuoli *et al.*, 2017; Cassimiro *et al.*, 2016; De Marco *et al.*, 2016; Kitao *et al.*, 2016; Sanz *et al.*, 2016; Bagard *et al.*, 2015; Bükler *et al.*, 2015; Danielsson *et al.*, 2013; Grünhage *et al.*, 2012; Mills *et al.*, 2011). It has been shown that POD<sub>0</sub> may predict more effectively the incidence of the injury (number of injured

plants/total number of plants  $\times 100$ ), severity of the injury and leaf abscission than  $POD_1$ ,  $POD_2$ ,  $POD_3$ ,  $POD_4$ ,  $POD_5$  and  $POD_6$  (Cassimiro *et al.*, 2016).  $POD$  approach has been advanced to the point that it has been used to estimate  $O_3$  impacts at forest level (Kitao *et al.*, 2016) or setting  $O_3$  CL for multi-species canopies of Mediterranean annual pastures (Calvete-Sogo *et al.*, 2017). These efforts are very important because (i) plant response to  $O_3$  may vary between monospecific and multispecies cultures and (ii) the target of ecotoxicology is communities and populations and not individuals. The availability of nitrogen (Calvete-Sogo *et al.*, 2017) and water (De Marco *et al.*, 2016) should be taken into account when working with  $POD_Y$  because soil nitrogen and water availabilities influence the flux-response relationships and modify the  $CLe_f$ .

In  $O_3$  risk assessments using high spatial resolution over Europe for the years 2000-2005, it was found that the AOT40-based risk assessment showed a good consistency compared to *in situ* data and other model-based datasets, but stomatal  $O_3$ -uptake-based assessment showed different spatial patterns compared to other model-based datasets (Anav *et al.*, 2016).

$POD$   $CLe_f$  for agricultural and horticultural crops, forest trees and (semi-)natural vegetation, based on a series of experiments conducted in Europe, have been previously summarized (Mills *et al.*, 2011). It has been shown that  $POD_6$  values of 1, 2, 2, 5 and 2  $mmol\ m^{-2}$  were needed to reduce by 5% the grain yield of wheat, 1,000 grain weight of wheat, protein yield of wheat, tuber yield of potato, and fruit yield of tomato, respectively (Mills *et al.*, 2011). Also,  $POD_1$  values of 4, 8, and 2  $mmol\ m^{-2}$  were needed to reduce by 4% the annual whole tree biomass of beech and birch, 2% the annual whole tree biomass of Norway spruce, and 10% the above-ground biomass of *Trifolium* spp (Mills *et al.*, 2011). An analysis of published data indicated  $POD_1$   $CLe_f$  (95% CI) of 12.2 (8.9, 15.5), 7.2 (1.1, 13.3) and 4.6 (2.7, 6.5)  $mmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}$  for a 10% loss of above-ground biomass, reproductive capacity and consumable food value, respectively, of Mediterranean annual Dehesa-type pastures (Sanz *et al.*, 2016). Recently, a  $POD_6$   $CLe_f$  of 1  $mmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}$  has been also reported for a 15% loss of marketable yield in lettuce (Marzuoli *et al.*, 2017).

There is however a lack of experimental evidence on observation-based  $CLe_f$  in Asia, and, hence, more studies are needed to reach the point of generalizations. Regarding crop plants,  $POD$  was estimated in Japanese rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Koshihikari) using a variety of flux thresholds and phenological integration periods (Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2014). This study suggested that the Koshihikari yields can be assessed using a threshold of 10  $nmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}\ PLA\ s^{-1}$  ( $POD_{10}$ ) and an integration

period of  $-300$  to  $100^\circ C$  days from anthesis. In the experiment with winter wheat in subtropical China discussed in section III, dose-response relationships were tested for  $POD$  values with threshold  $Y$  ranging from 0 to 24  $nmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}\ PLA\ s^{-1}$  (Feng *et al.*, 2012). Cultivar Yangfumai 2 displayed a greater sensitivity of relative yield to  $POD_Y$  than the other three cultivars tested, but only for  $Y$  values  $\geq 13\ nmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}\ PLA\ s^{-1}$ . Overall,  $POD_{11-20}$  was a better predictor of relative yield than AOT40 (Feng *et al.*, 2012). The authors suggested a threshold of 12  $nmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}\ PLA\ s^{-1}$  for wheat flux-response relationships in subtropical China. Zhang *et al.* (2017) improved  $g_s$  model to include the effect of leaf age. They found that the  $POD_6$  and  $POD_{9,6}$   $CLe_f$  for 5% reduction of relative seed yield of soybean in China were 1.8 and 0.9  $mmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}$ , and  $POD_{9,6}$  yielded better dose-response relationships than the other tested metrics (explained above in section III). In a different study, simulated  $O_3$  was used to evaluate the relative yield loss of rice in a domain of Southern Vietnam using AOT40, mean 7 h daytime  $O_3$  level (M7),  $POD_0$  and  $POD_{10}$  metrics (Danh *et al.*, 2016): This study revealed a prediction of greater rice production loss by  $POD_{10}$ , compared to AOT40, M7 and  $POD_0$ , in agreement with previous findings in Japan (Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2014). This may be the first attempt for assessing  $O_3$ -induced crop yield loss with different  $O_3$  exposure metrics in Asian developing countries and is encouraging for further studies. Asian studies implementing  $POD$  are fewer for trees than crop plants. In the experiment with poplars in China mentioned above (section III),  $POD_1$  dose-response relationship indicated a 2% biomass loss at 2.1  $mmol\ m^{-2}$  and a 4% loss at 4.8  $mmol\ m^{-2}$ , whereas  $POD_7$  yielded a 5% reduction in total biomass at 3.8  $mmol\ m^{-2}$  and was more effective predictor than AOT40 and  $POD_1$  (Hu *et al.*, 2015);  $POD_1$  is recommended by CLRTAP (2015) for protecting against  $O_3$ -induced biomass loss of trees. Based on the findings of Hu *et al.* (2015), Shang *et al.* (2017) used  $POD_7$  for deriving  $O_3$   $CLe_f$  for an experiment with two poplar clones (explained in section III).  $CLe_f$  for 5% reduction in total biomass, photosynthetic parameters and leaf mass per area (LMA) for the two clones were 9.8, 3 and 4  $mmol\ O_3\ m^{-2}\ PLA$ , respectively; coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) were similar between AOT40- and  $POD_7$ -based dose-response relationships for all the response variables (Shang *et al.*, 2017). Based on these clones,  $POD_7$  has been also recommended over AOT40 for large-scale risk assessment of isoprene emission to  $O_3$  in poplar (Yuan *et al.*, 2017).

## 5. $CLe_e$ OR $CLe_f$ ?

Both  $CLe_e$  and  $CLe_f$  approaches may overestimate

O<sub>3</sub> effects on vegetation because plant detoxification mechanisms are not taken into account (Musselman *et al.*, 2006). In both cases it is assumed that sensitivity and adaptive capacity are equal across species, which is a challenge in assessing species vulnerability to O<sub>3</sub> (Butt *et al.*, 2016; Loibl *et al.*, 2004). While CLe<sub>f</sub> approach is biologically more meaningful and realistic than CLe<sub>e</sub> approach, flux-related assessments taking into account physiological defense capacity could better predict O<sub>3</sub> effects because physiological response to O<sub>3</sub> can be modified by global change (Tausz *et al.*, 2007; Loibl *et al.*, 2004; Matyssek *et al.*, 2004). In this sense, quantitative understanding of effective flux (Kinose *et al.*, 2017) should be developed before adapting flux-based models by regulatory agencies (Musselman *et al.*, 2006). Nevertheless, while CLe<sub>f</sub> approaches are biologically more meaningful and are considered superior to CLe<sub>e</sub> approaches, their calculation requires plant physiological data which are not always available. This is an important limitation especially in developing Asian countries where there may be no access to instruments for such physiological measurements. Hence, both CLe<sub>e</sub> and CLe<sub>f</sub> approaches are useful for assessing O<sub>3</sub> impacts on vegetation with a Pan-Asian perspective. Although biologically more relevant, CLe<sub>f</sub> approaches should be accompanied by a standard CLe<sub>e</sub> approach (e.g. AOT0) in order to provide a scientific base for mutual understanding and benefit among Asian countries.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

A variety of O<sub>3</sub> metrics has been used by the air pollution research community. Considering the strengths and weaknesses of the common indices, it could be suggested that AOT40 may be used for highly polluted regions whereas AOT0, W126 or a CLe<sub>f</sub> approach can be used in regions with low O<sub>3</sub> levels. However, although AOT40 has been widely used and adopted by worldwide regulatory agencies, the current scientific literature suggests it is inefficient and should be replaced by other indices, like AOT0 (or SUM00: sum of all hourly average O<sub>3</sub> levels), which do not set a threshold.

To facilitate the future developments in science and foster correct policy and regulations at national and Pan-Asian level, it is recommended to set as a standard practice to report more than one indices and never AOT40 alone. It is recommended AOT0, which is easy to calculate, to always accompany AOT40 or flux-based metrics.

O<sub>3</sub> effects on plants do not depend only on O<sub>3</sub> expo-

sure characteristics but also on plant physiology and biological plasticity, something that should be considered when selecting O<sub>3</sub> phytotoxicity metrics. In this context, CLe<sub>e</sub> metrics may totally fail to realistically predict plant response (or O<sub>3</sub> effects on plants). For a more realistic assessment of species vulnerability and risk in the future, implementation of plant detoxification capacity should be set as a research priority. This will be a challenging task for the coming decades because of (a) the complexity of detoxification mechanisms; (b) the current incomplete understanding of O<sub>3</sub> mode of action in plants, or the plant response to O<sub>3</sub>; and (c) the limited knowledge about O<sub>3</sub> effects on native or local cultivated plants in wide regions of Asia. Nevertheless, earlier trials where photosynthesis (Hoshika *et al.*, 2017a; Kinose *et al.*, 2017; Li *et al.*, 2016; Oue *et al.*, 2011; Kolb and Matyssek, 2001) and LMA (or its inverse specific leaf area; SLA) (Li *et al.*, 2016; Wieser *et al.*, 2002) were used as proxies can serve as a basis for further developments at Pan-Asian level.

More Asian studies are needed to improve the current limited understanding and contribute in setting national and Pan-Asian standards for the protection of crop plants and native vegetation. This is particularly important for Asian tropical regions as relevant experimental studies on plant response to O<sub>3</sub> remain very limited.

In contrast to closed exposure systems, FACE systems allow interaction of plants with the natural environment and thus provide experimental conditions close to natural ones. FACE systems (Kobayashi, 2015) can offer an interface for realistic derivation of CLe and a substantial base for adopting regulatory measures in Asia. As FACE systems cannot reduce ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentration, they should be established in O<sub>3</sub>-clean areas or alternative methodologies to exclude potential background O<sub>3</sub> stress should be considered (Paoletti *et al.*, 2017).

Environmental conditions, growing medium characteristics and plant competition (inter or intra) are likely to drive dose response relationships (González-Fernández *et al.*, 2017; De Marco *et al.*, 2016; Azuchi *et al.*, 2014). Policy and decision makers and stakeholders of Asian regulatory agencies should consider site-specific differences in dose response relationships before adopting O<sub>3</sub> CLe<sub>f</sub>. In this framework, multi-site assessments are required for adoption of representative O<sub>3</sub> CLe<sub>f</sub>.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are inspired by the book “Air Pollution Impacts on Plants in East Asia” (Springer, 2017) edited

by Prof. Takeshi Izuta, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan. Evgenios Agathokleous is a JSPS International Research Fellow (ID No: P17102). This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No. JP17H03839). The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) is a non-profit organization.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that their research has no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Agathokleous, E., Saitanis, C.J., Burkey, K.O., Ntatsi, G., Vougeleka, V., Mashaheet, A.M., Pallides, A. (2017) Application and further characterization of the snap bean S156/R123 ozone biomonitoring system in relation to ambient air temperature. *Science of The Total Environment* 580, 1046-1055. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.059
- Agathokleous, E., Saitanis, C.J., Koike, T. (2015) Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>, the nightmare of wild plants: A review study. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 71, 142-152. doi:10.2480/agrmet.D-14-00008
- Agathokleous, E., Saitanis, C.J., Wang, X., Watanabe, M., Koike, T. (2016) A review study on past 40 years of research on effects of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> on below-ground structure, functioning, and processes of trees: a linkage with potential ecological implications. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* 227, 33. doi:10.1007/s11270-015-2715-9
- Akimoto, H. (2003) Global air quality and pollution. *Science* 302.
- Alexou, M. (2013) Development-specific responses to drought stress in Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) seedlings. *Tree Physiology* 33, 1030-1042. doi:10.1093/treephys/tpt084
- Anav, A., De Marco, A., Proietti, C., Alessandri, A., Dell'Aquila, A., Cionni, I., Friedlingstein, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Paoletti, E., Sicard, P., Sitch, S., Vitale, M. (2016) Comparing concentration-based (AOT40) and stomatal uptake (PODY) metrics for ozone risk assessment to European forests. *Global Change Biology* 22, 1608-1627. doi:10.1111/gcb.13138
- Ashmore, M.R. (2005) Assessing the future global impacts of ozone on vegetation. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28, 949-964. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01341.x
- Avnery, S., Mauzerall, D.L., Liu, J., Horowitz, L.W. (2011) Global crop yield reductions due to surface ozone exposure: 1. Year 2000 crop production losses and economic damage. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 2284-2296. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.045
- Azuchi, F., Kinose, Y., Matsumura, T., Kanomata, T., Uehara, Y., Kobayashi, A., Yamaguchi, M., Izuta, T. (2014) Modeling stomatal conductance and ozone uptake of *Fagus crenata* grown under different nitrogen loads. *Environmental Pollution* 184, 481-487. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.09.025
- Bagard, M., Jolivet, Y., Hasenfratz-Sauder, M.-P., Gérard, J., Dizengremel, P., Le Thiec, D. (2015) Ozone exposure and flux-based response functions for photosynthetic traits in wheat, maize and poplar. *Environmental Pollution* 206, 411-420. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.046
- Ball, J.T., Woodrow, I.E., Berry, J.A. (1987) A model predicting stomatal conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions, in: *Progress in Photosynthesis Research*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 221-224. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-0519-6\_48
- Broberg, M.C., Feng, Z., Xin, Y., Pleijel, H. (2015) Ozone effects on wheat grain quality - A summary. *Environmental Pollution* 197, 203-213. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2014.12.009
- Büker, P., Feng, Z., Uddling, J., Briolat, A., Alonso, R., Braun, S., Elvira, S., Gerosa, G., Karlsson, P.E., Le Thiec, D., Marzuoli, R., Mills, G., Oksanen, E., Wieser, G., Wilkinson, M., Emberson, L.D. (2015) New flux based dose-response relationships for ozone for European forest tree species. *Environmental Pollution* 206, 163-174. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.06.033
- Butt, N., Possingham, H.P., De Los Rios, C., Maggini, R., Fuller, R.A., Maxwell, S.L., Watson, J.E.M. (2016) Challenges in assessing the vulnerability of species to climate change to inform conservation actions. *Biological Conservation* 199, 10-15. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.04.020
- Calvete-Sogo, H., González-Fernández, I., García-Gómez, H., Alonso, R., Elvira, S., Sanz, J., Bermejo-Bermejo, V. (2017) Developing ozone critical levels for multi-species canopies of Mediterranean annual pastures. *Environmental Pollution* 220, 186-195. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.09.038
- Cape, J.N. (2008) Surface ozone concentrations and ecosystem health: past trends and a guide to future projections. *Science of the Total Environment* 400, 257-269. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.06.025
- Cassimiro, J.C., Moura, B.B., Alonso, R., Meirelles, S.T., Moraes, R.M. (2016) Ozone stomatal flux and O<sub>3</sub> concentration-based metrics for *Astronium graveolens* Jacq., a Brazilian native forest tree species. *Environmental Pollution* 213, 1007-1015. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.005
- Chappelka, A.H., Grulke, N.E. (2016) Disruption of the "disease triangle" by chemical and physical environmental change. *Plant Biology* 18, 5-12. doi:10.1111/plb.12353
- Cieslik, S., Omasa, K., Paoletti, E. (2009) Why and how terrestrial plants exchange gases with air. *Plant Biology* 11, 24-34. doi:10.1111/j.1438-8677.2009.00262.x

- CLRTAP (2015) Mapping critical levels for vegetation, Chapter III of manual on methodologies and criteria for modelling and mapping critical loads and levels and air pollution effects, risks and trends. UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution.
- Cotrozzi, L., Remorini, D., Pellegrini, E., Guidi, L., Lorenzini, G., Massai, R., Nali, C., Landi, M. (2017) Cross-talk between physiological and metabolic adjustments adopted by *Quercus cerris* to mitigate the effects of severe drought and realistic future ozone concentrations. *Forests* 8, 148. doi:10.3390/f8050148
- Cotrozzi, L., Remorini, D., Pellegrini, E., Landi, M., Massai, R., Nali, C., Guidi, L., Lorenzini, G. (2016) Variations in physiological and biochemical traits of oak seedlings grown under drought and ozone stress. *Physiologia Plantarum* 157, 69-84. doi:10.1111/ppl.12402
- Danh, N.T., Huy, L.N., Oanh, N.T.K. (2016) Assessment of rice yield loss due to exposure to ozone pollution in Southern Vietnam. *Science of The Total Environment* 566-567, 1069-1079. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.131
- Danielsson, H., Karlsson, G.P., Karlsson, P.E., Håkan Pleijel, H. (2003) Ozone uptake modelling and flux-response relationships - an assessment of ozone-induced yield loss in spring wheat. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 475-485. doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00924-X
- Danielsson, H., Karlsson, P.E., Pleijel, H. (2013) An ozone response relationship for four *Phleum pratense* genotypes based on modelling of the phytotoxic ozone dose (POD). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 90, 70-77. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.10.007
- De Marco, A., Sicard, P., Fares, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Anav, A., Paoletti, E. (2016) Assessing the role of soil water limitation in determining the Phytotoxic Ozone Dose (PODY) thresholds. *Atmospheric Environment* 147, 88-97. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.066
- Deb Roy, S., Beig, G., Ghude, S.D. (2009) Exposure-plant response of ambient ozone over the tropical Indian region. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 9, 5253-5260. doi:10.5194/acp-9-5253-2009
- Emberson, L.D., Ashmore, M.R., Cambridge, H.M., Simpson, D., Tuovinen, J.-P. (2000a) Modelling stomatal ozone flux across Europe. *Environmental Pollution* 109, 403-413. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(00)00043-9
- Emberson, L.D., Simpson, D., Tuovinen, J.P., Ashmore, M., Cambridge, H. (2000b) Towards a model of ozone deposition and stomatal uptake over Europe, in: EMEP MSC-W Note 6/2000. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo.
- Emberson, L.D., Büker, P., Ashmore, M.R. (2007) Assessing the risk caused by ground level ozone to European forest trees: a case study in pine, beech and oak across different climate regions. *Environmental pollution* 147, 454-466. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.10.026
- Feng, Z., Hu, E., Wang, X., Jiang, L., Liu, X. (2015) Ground-level O<sub>3</sub> pollution and its impacts on food crops in China: A review. *Environmental Pollution* 199, 42-48. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.01.016
- Feng, Z., Kobayashi, K., Ainsworth, E.A. (2008) Impact of elevated ozone concentration on growth, physiology, and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 14, 2696-2708. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01673.x
- Feng, Z., Tang, H., Uddling, J., Pleijel, H., Kobayashi, K., Zhu, J., Oue, H., Guo, W. (2012) A stomatal ozone flux-response relationship to assess ozone-induced yield loss of winter wheat in subtropical China. *Environmental Pollution* 164, 16-23. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2012.01.014
- Fowler, D., Cape, J.N. (1982) Air pollutants in agriculture and horticulture, in: Unsworth, M.H., Ormrod, D.P. (Eds.), *Effects of Gaseous Air Pollution in Agriculture and Horticulture*. Butterworth Scientific, London, pp. 3-26.
- Fuhrer, J. (2009) Ozone risk for crops and pastures in present and future climates. *Naturwissenschaften* 96, 173-194. doi:10.1007/s00114-008-0468-7
- Fuhrer, J., Skärby, L., Ashmore, M.R.R. (1997) Critical levels for ozone effects on vegetation in Europe. *Environmental Pollution* 97, 91-106. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(97)00067-5
- Gerosa, G., Vitale, M., Finco, A., Manes, F., Denti, A.B., Cieslik, S. (2005) Ozone uptake by an evergreen Mediterranean Forest (*Quercus ilex*) in Italy. Part I: Micro-meteorological flux measurements and flux partitioning. *Atmospheric Environment* 39, 3255-3266. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.056
- González-Fernández, I., Sanz, J., Calvete-Sogo, H., Elvira, S., Alonso, R., Bermejo-Bermejo, V. (2017) Validation of ozone response functions for annual Mediterranean pasture species using close-to-field-conditions experiments. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-9099-x
- Grünhage, L., Jäger, H.-J. (2003) From critical levels to critical loads for ozone: a discussion of a new experimental and modelling approach for establishing flux-response relationships for agricultural crops and native plant species. *Environmental Pollution* 125, 99-110. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(03)00092-7
- Grünhage, L., Jäger, H.-J., Haenel, H.-D., Löpmeier, F.-J., Hanewald, K. (1999) The European critical levels for ozone: improving their usage. *Environmental Pollution* 105, 163-173. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00029-9
- Grünhage, L., Krause, G.H., Köllner, B., Bender, J., Weigel, H.-J.J., Jäger, H.-J.J., Guderian, R. (2001) A new flux-orientated concept to derive critical levels for ozone to protect vegetation. *Environmental Pollution* 111, 355-362. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(00)00181-0
- Grünhage, L., Pleijel, H., Mills, G., Bender, J., Danielsson, H., Lehmann, Y., Castell, J.-F., Bethenod, O. (2012) Updated stomatal flux and flux-effect models for wheat for quantifying effects of ozone on grain

- yield, grain mass and protein yield. *Environmental Pollution* 165, 147-157. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2012.02.026
- Harmens, H., Mills, G., Emberson, L.D., Ashmore, M.R. (2007) Implications of climate change for the stomatal flux of ozone: A case study for winter wheat. *Environmental Pollution* 146, 763-770. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.05.018
- Hicks, B.B., Baldocchi, D.D., Meyers, T.P., Hosker, R.P., Matt, D.R. (1987) A preliminary multiple resistance routine for deriving dry deposition velocities from measured quantities. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 36, 311-330. doi:10.1007/BF00229675
- Hiyama, T., Kochi, K., Kobayashi, N., Sirisampan, S. (2005) Seasonal variation in stomatal conductance and physiological factors observed in a secondary warm-temperate forest. *Ecological Research* 20, 333-346. doi:10.1007/s11284-005-0049-6
- Hoshika, Y., Paoletti, E., Omasa, K. (2012a) Parameterization of *Zelkova serrata* stomatal conductance model to estimate stomatal ozone uptake in Japan. *Atmospheric Environment* 55, 271-278. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.02.083
- Hoshika, Y., Watanabe, M., Inada, N., Koike, T. (2012b) Ozone-induced stomatal sluggishness develops progressively in Siebold's beech (*Fagus crenata*). *Environmental Pollution* 166, 152-156. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2012.03.013
- Hoshika, Y., Omasa, K., Paoletti, E. (2013a) Both ozone exposure and soil water stress are able to induce stomatal sluggishness. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 88, 19-23. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.12.004
- Hoshika, Y., Watanabe, M., Inada, N., Koike, T. (2013b) Model-based analysis of avoidance of ozone stress by stomatal closure in Siebold's beech (*Fagus crenata*). *Annals of Botany* 112, 1149-1158. doi:10.1093/aob/mct166
- Hoshika, Y., Katata, G., Deushi, M., Watanabe, M., Koike, T., Paoletti, E. (2015a) Ozone-induced stomatal sluggishness changes carbon and water balance of temperate deciduous forests. *Scientific Reports* 5, 9871. doi:10.1038/srep09871
- Hoshika, Y., Watanabe, M., Inada, N., Koike, T. (2015b) Effects of ozone-induced stomatal closure on ozone uptake and its changes due to leaf age in sun and shade leaves of Siebold's beech. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 71, 218-226. doi:10.2480/agrmet.D-14-00013
- Hoshika, Y., Carrari, E., Zhang, L., Carrero, G., Pignatelli, S., Fasano, G., Materassi, A., Paoletti, E. (2017a) Testing a ratio of photosynthesis to O<sub>3</sub> uptake as an index for assessing O<sub>3</sub>-induced foliar visible injury in poplar trees. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-9475-6
- Hoshika, Y., Fares, S., Savi, F., Gruening, C., Goded, I., De Marco, A., Sicard, P., Paoletti, E. (2017b) Stomatal conductance models for ozone risk assessment at canopy level in two Mediterranean evergreen forests. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 234-235, 212-221. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.01.005
- Hu, E., Gao, F., Xin, Y., Jia, H., Li, K., Hu, J., Feng, Z. (2015) Concentration- and flux-based ozone dose-response relationships for five poplar clones grown in North China. *Environmental Pollution* 207, 21-30. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.08.034
- Jarvis, P.G. (1976) The interpretation of the variations in leaf water potential and stomatal conductance found in canopies in the field. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273, 593-610. doi:10.1098/rstb.1976.0035
- Kalabokas, P.D., Cammas, J.-P., Thouret, V., Volz-Thomas, A., Boulanger, D., Repapis, C.C. (2013) Examination of the atmospheric conditions associated with high and low summer ozone levels in the lower troposphere over the Eastern Mediterranean. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions* 13, 2457-2491. doi:10.5194/acpd-13-2457-2013
- Kärenlampi, L., Skärby, L. (1996) Critical Levels for Ozone in Europe: Testing and Finalizing the Concepts, in: UN-ECE Workshop Report. p. 363.
- Karlsson, P.E., Braun, S., Broadmeadow, M., Elvira, S., Emberson, L.D., Gimeno, B.S., Le Thiec, D., Novak, K., Oksanen, E., Schaub, M., Uddling, J., Wilkinson, M. (2007a) Risk assessments for forest trees: The performance of the ozone flux versus the AOT concepts. *Environmental Pollution* 146, 608-616. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.06.012
- Karlsson, P.E., Braun, S., Broadmeadow, M., Elvira, S., Emberson, L.D., Gimeno, B.S., Le Thiec, D., Novak, K., Oksanen, E., Schaub, M., Uddling, J., Wilkinson, M. (2007b) Risk assessments for forest trees: the performance of the ozone flux versus the AOT concepts. *Environmental Pollution* 146, 608-616. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.06.012
- Karlsson, P.E., Medin, E.L., Ottosson, S., Selldén, G., Wallin, G., Pleijel, H., Skärby, L. (2004a) A cumulative ozone uptake-response relationship for the growth of Norway spruce saplings. *Environmental Pollution* 128, 405-417. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2003.09.008
- Karlsson, P.E., Uddling, J., Braun, S., Broadmeadow, M., Elvira, S., Gimeno, B.S., Le Thiec, D., Oksanen, E., Vandermeiren, K., Wilkinson, M., Emberson, L.D. (2004b) New critical levels for ozone effects on young trees based on AOT40 and simulated cumulative leaf uptake of ozone. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 2283-2294. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.01.027
- Kim, M.J., Park, R.J., Ho, C.-H., Woo, J.-H., Choi, K.-C., Song, C.-K., Lee, J.-B. (2015) Future ozone and oxidants change under the RCP scenarios. *Atmospheric Environment* 101, 103-115. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.016
- Kinose, Y., Azuchi, F., Uehara, Y., Kanomata, T., Kobayashi, A., Yamaguchi, M., Izuta, T. (2014) Modeling of stomatal conductance to estimate stomatal ozone uptake by *Fagus crenata*, *Quercus serrata*, *Quercus*

- mongolica* var. *crispula* and *Betula platyphylla*. Environmental Pollution 194, 235-245. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.030
- Kinose, Y., Fukamachi, Y., Okabe, S., Hiroshima, H., Watanabe, M., Izuta, T. (2017) Photosynthetic responses to ozone of upper and lower canopy leaves of *Fagus crenata* Blume seedlings grown under different soil nutrient conditions. Environmental Pollution 223, 213-222. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.014
- Kitao, M., Komatsu, M., Hoshika, Y., Yazaki, K., Yoshimura, K., Fujii, S., Miyama, T., Kominami, Y. (2014) Seasonal ozone uptake by a warm-temperate mixed deciduous and evergreen broadleaf forest in western Japan estimated by the Penman-Monteith approach combined with a photosynthesis-dependent stomatal model. Environmental Pollution 184, 457-463. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.09.023
- Kitao, M., Löw, M., Heerdt, C., Grams, T.E.E., Häberle, K.-H., Matyssek, R. (2009) Effects of chronic elevated ozone exposure on gas exchange responses of adult beech trees (*Fagus sylvatica*) as related to the within-canopy light gradient. Environmental Pollution 157, 537-544. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2008.09.016
- Kitao, M., Yasuda, Y., Kominami, Y., Yamanoi, K., Komatsu, M., Miyama, T., Mizoguchi, Y., Kitaoka, S., Yazaki, K., Tobita, H., Yoshimura, K., Koike, T., Izuta, T. (2016) Increased phytotoxic O<sub>3</sub> dose accelerates autumn senescence in an O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive beech forest even under the present-level O<sub>3</sub>. Scientific Reports 6, 32549. doi:10.1038/srep32549
- Kobayashi, K. (2015) FACE-ing the challenges of increasing surface ozone concentration in Asia. Journal of Agricultural Meteorology 71, 161-166. doi:10.2480/agrmet.D-15-00100
- Koike, T., Watanabe, M., Hoshika, Y., Kitao, M., Matsu-mura, H., Funada, R., Izuta, T. (2013) Effects of ozone on forest ecosystems in East and Southeast Asia. In Climate Change, Air Pollution and Global Challenges: Understanding and Solutions from Forest Research, A COST action (Matyssek, R., Clarke, N., Cudlin, P., Mikkelsen, T.N., Tuovinen, J.-P., Wieser, G., and Paoletti, E. Eds), Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 371-390. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-098349-3.00017-7
- Kolb, T., Matyssek, R. (2001) Limitations and perspectives about scaling ozone impacts in trees. Environmental Pollution 115, 373-393. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00228-7
- Komatsu, M., Yoshimura, K., Fujii, S., Yazaki, K., Tobita, H., Mizoguchi, Y., Miyama, T., Kominami, Y., Yasuda, Y., Yamanoi, K., Kitao, M. (2015) Estimation of ozone concentrations above forests using atmospheric observations at urban air pollution monitoring stations. Journal of Agricultural Meteorology 71, 202-210. doi: 10.2480/agrmet.D-14-00024
- Lefohn, A.S., Laurence, J.A., Kohut, R.J. (1988) A comparison of indices that describe the relationship between exposure to ozone and reduction in the yield of agricultural crops. Atmospheric Environment (1967) 22, 1229-1240. doi:10.1016/0004-6981(88)90353-8
- Lefohn, A.S., Runeckles, V.C. (1987) Establishing standards to protect vegetation-ozone exposure/dose considerations. Atmospheric Environment 21, 561-568. doi:10.1016/0004-6981(87)90038-2
- Leuning, R. (1995) A critical appraisal of a combined stomatal-photosynthesis model for C<sub>3</sub> plants. Plant, Cell and Environment 18, 339-355. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00370.x
- Li, P., Calatayud, V., Gao, F., Uddling, J., Feng, Z. (2016) Differences in ozone sensitivity among woody species are related to leaf morphology and antioxidant levels. Tree Physiology 36, 1105-1116. doi:10.1093/treephys/tpw042
- Lindroth, R.L. (2010) Impacts of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on forests: phytochemistry, trophic interactions, and ecosystem dynamics. Journal of Chemical Ecology 36, 2-21. doi:10.1007/s10886-009-9731-4
- Loibl, W., Bolhàr-Nordenkamp, H.R., Herman, F., Smidt, S. (2004) Modelling critical levels of ozone for the forested area of Austria modifications of the AOT40 concept. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 11, 171-180. doi:10.1007/BF02979672
- Lu, Y., Jenkins, A., Ferrier, R.C., Bailey, M., Gordon, I.J., Song, S., Huang, J., Jia, S., Zhang, F., Liu, X., Feng, Z., Zhang, Z. (2015) Addressing China's grand challenge of achieving food security while ensuring environmental sustainability. Science Advances 1.
- Marzuoli, R., Finco, A., Chiesa, M., Gerosa, G. (2017) A dose-response relationship for marketable yield reduction of two lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) cultivars exposed to tropospheric ozone in Southern Europe. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 1-10. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-8224-6
- Matyssek, R., Bytnerowicz, A., Karlsson, P.E., Paoletti, E., Sanz, M., Schaub, M., Wieser, G. (2007) Promoting the O<sub>3</sub> flux concept for European forest trees. Environmental Pollution 146, 587-607. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.11.011
- Matyssek, R., Innes, J.L. (1999) Ozone - a risk factor for trees and forests in Europe?, in: Forest Growth Responses to the Pollution Climate of the 21st Century. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 199-226. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-1578-2\_14
- Matyssek, R., Wieser, G., Nunn, A.J., Kozovits, A.R., Reiter, I.M., Heerdt, C., Winkler, J.B., Baumgarten, M., Häberle, K.-H., Grams, T.E.E., Werner, H., Fabian, P., Havranek, W.M. (2004) Comparison between AOT40 and ozone uptake in forest trees of different species, age and site conditions. Atmospheric Environment 38, 2271-2281. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.078
- McAinsh, M.R., Evans, N.H., Montgomery, L.T., North, K.A. (2002) Calcium signalling in stomatal responses to pollutants. New Phytologist 153, 441-447. doi:10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001.00336.x
- McGrath, J.M., Betzelberger, A.M., Wang, S., Shook, E., Zhu, X.-G., Long, S.P., Ainsworth, E.A. (2015) An

- analysis of ozone damage to historical maize and soybean yields in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112, 14390-14395. doi:10.1073/pnas.1509777112
- Mills, G., Buse, A., Gimeno, B., Bermejo, V., Holland, M., Emberson, L.D., Pleijel, H. (2007) A synthesis of AOT40-based response functions and critical levels of ozone for agricultural and horticultural crops. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 2630-2643. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.016
- Mills, G., Hayes, F., Wilkinson, S., Davies, W.J. (2009) Chronic exposure to increasing background ozone impairs stomatal functioning in grassland species. *Global Change Biology* 15, 1522-1533. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01798.x
- Mills, G., Pleijel, H., Braun, S., Büker, P., Bermejo, V., Calvo, E., Danielsson, H., Emberson, L.D., Fernández, I.G., Grünhage, L., Harmens, H., Hayes, F., Karlsson, P.E., Simpson, D. (2011) New stomatal flux-based critical levels for ozone effects on vegetation. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 5064-5068. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.009
- Morgan, P.B., Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P. (2003) How does elevated ozone impact soybean? A meta-analysis of photosynthesis, growth and yield. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 26, 1317-1328. doi:10.1046/j.0016-8025.2003.01056.x
- Musselman, R.C., Lefohn, A.S., Massman, W.J., Heath, R.L. (2006) A critical review and analysis of the use of exposure- and flux-based ozone indices for predicting vegetation effects. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 1869-1888. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.064
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone; Final Rule [WWW Document], 2015. Federal Register. URL <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-10-26/pdf/2015-26594.pdf> (accessed 4.9.17).
- Osborne, S.A., Mills, G., Hayes, F., Ainsworth, E.A., Büker, P., Emberson, L. (2016) Has the sensitivity of soybean cultivars to ozone pollution increased with time? An analysis of published dose-response data. *Global Change Biology* 22, 3097-3111. doi:10.1111/gcb.13318
- Oue, H., Feng, Z., Pang, J., Miyata, A., Mano, M., Kobayashi, K., Zhu, J. (2009) Modeling the stomatal conductance and photosynthesis of a flag leaf of wheat under elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentration. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 65, 239-248. doi:10.2480/agrmet.65.3.7
- Oue, H., Kobayashi, K., Zhu, J., Guo, W., Zhu, X. (2011) Improvements of the ozone dose response functions for predicting the yield loss of wheat due to elevated ozone. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 67, 21-32. doi:10.2480/agrmet.67.1.2
- Oue, H., Motohiro, S., Inada, K., Miyata, A., Mano, M., Kobayashi, K., Zhu, J. (2008) Evaluation of ozone uptake by the rice canopy with the multi-layer model. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 64, 223-232. doi:10.2480/agrmet.64.4.8
- Paoletti, E., Manning, W. (2007) Toward a biologically significant and usable standard for ozone that will also protect plants. *Environmental Pollution* 150, 85-95. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.037
- Paoletti, E., Materassi, A., Fasano, G., Hoshika, Y., Carriero, G., Silaghi, D., Badea, O. (2017) A new-generation 3D ozone FACE (Free Air Controlled Exposure). *Science of The Total Environment* 575, 1407-1414. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.217
- Pleijel, H., Danielsson, H., Emberson, L.D., Ashmore, M.R., Mills, G. (2007) Ozone risk assessment for agricultural crops in Europe: Further development of stomatal flux and flux-response relationships for European wheat and potato. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 3022-3040. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.12.002
- Pleijel, H., Danielsson, H., Ojanperä, K., Temmerman, L.D., Högy, P., Badiani, M., Karlsson, P.E. (2004) Relationships between ozone exposure and yield loss in European wheat and potato - a comparison of concentration- and flux-based exposure indices. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 2259-2269. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.076
- Qiu, J. (2010) China drought highlights future climate threats. *Nature* 465, 142-143. doi:10.1038/465142a
- Saitanis, C.J., Panagopoulos, G., Dasopoulou, V., Athokleous, E., Papatheohari, Y. (2015) Integrated assessment of ambient ozone phytotoxicity in Greece's Tripolis Plateau. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 71, 55-64. doi:10.2480/agrmet.D-14-00030
- Sanz, J., González-Fernández, I., Elvira, S., Muntiferi, R., Alonso, R., Bermejo-Bermejo, V. (2016) Setting ozone critical levels for annual Mediterranean pasture species: Combined analysis of open-top chamber experiments. *Science of The Total Environment* 571, 670-679. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.035
- Sarkar, A., Agrawal, S.B. (2010) Elevated ozone and two modern wheat cultivars: An assessment of dose dependent sensitivity with respect to growth, reproductive and yield parameters. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 69, 328-337. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2010.04.016
- Shang, B., Feng, Z., Li, P., Yuan, X., Xu, Y., Calatayud, V. (2017) Ozone exposure- and flux-based response relationships with photosynthesis, leaf morphology and biomass in two poplar clones. *Science of The Total Environment* 603-604, 185-195. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.083
- Sicard, P., Serra, R., Rossello, P. (2016) Spatiotemporal trends in ground-level ozone concentrations and metrics in France over the time period 1999-2012. *Environmental Research* 149, 122-144. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2016.05.014
- Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergström, R., Emberson, L.D., Fagerli, H., Flechard, C.R., Hayman, G.D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J.E., Jenkin, M.E., Nyíri, A., Richter, C., Semeena, V.S., Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, A., Wind, P. (2012) The EMEP MSC-W

- chemical transport model - technical description. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 12, 7825-7865. doi:10.5194/acp-12-7825-2012
- Spranger, T., Lorenz, U., Gregor, H.-D. (2004) Manual on methodologies and criteria for Modelling and Mapping Critical Loads & Levels and Air Pollution Effects, Risks and Trends. Federal Environmental Agency (Umweltbundesamt), Berlin.
- Sugai, T., Kam, D.-G., Agathokleous, E., Watanabe, M., Kita, K., Koike, T. (2018) Growth and photosynthetic response of two larches exposed to O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios ranging from preindustrial to near future. *Photosynthetica* 56, In Press.
- Takigawa, M., Niwano, M., Akimoto, H., Takahashi, M., Kobayashi, K. (2009) Projection of surface ozone over East Asia in 2020. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology* 65, 161-166. doi:10.2480/agrmet.65.2.5
- Tang, H., Pang, J., Zhang, G., Takigawa, M., Liu, G., Zhu, J., Kobayashi, K. (2014) Mapping ozone risks for rice in China for years 2000 and 2020 with flux-based and exposure-based doses. *Atmospheric Environment* 86, 74-83. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.078
- Tang, H., Takigawa, M., Liu, G., Zhu, J., Kobayashi, K. (2013) A projection of ozone-induced wheat production loss in China and India for the years 2000 and 2020 with exposure-based and flux-based approaches. *Global Change Biology* 19, 2739-2752. doi:10.1111/gcb.12252
- Tausz, M., Grulke, N.E., Wieser, G. (2007) Defense and avoidance of ozone under global change. *Environmental Pollution* 147, 525-531. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.08.042
- Tian, H., Ren, W., Tao, B., Sun, G., Chappelka, A., Wang, X., Pan, S., Yang, J., Liu, J., Felzer, B.S., Melillo, J. M., Reilly, J. (2016) Climate extremes and ozone pollution: a growing threat to China's food security. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability* 2, e01203. doi:10.1002/ehs2.1203
- Vaultier, M.-N., Jolivet, Y. (2015) Ozone sensing and early signaling in plants: An outline from the cloud. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 114, 144-152. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.11.012
- Verstraeten, W.W., Neu, J.L., Williams, J.E., Bowman, K.W., Worden, J.R., Boersma, K.F. (2015) Rapid increases in tropospheric ozone production and export from China. *Nature Geoscience* 8, 690-695. doi:10.1038/ngeo2493
- Vingarzan, R. (2004) A review of surface ozone background levels and trends. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 3431-3442. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.030
- Wang, X., Mauzerall, D.L. (2004) Characterizing distributions of surface ozone and its impact on grain production in China, Japan and South Korea: 1990 and 2020. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 4383-4402. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.067
- Watanabe, M., Matsuo, N., Yamaguchi, M., Matsumura, H., Kohno, Y., Izuta, T. (2010) Risk assessment of ozone impact on the carbon absorption of Japanese representative conifers. *European Journal of Forest Research* 129, 421-430. doi:10.1007/s10342-009-0316-0
- Watanabe, M., Yamaguchi, M. (2011) Risk assessment of ozone impact on 6 Japanese forest tree species with consideration of nitrogen deposition. *Japanese Journal of Ecology* 61, 89-96 (in Japanese).
- Watanabe, M., Yamaguchi, M., Matsumura, H., Kohno, Y., Izuta, T. (2012) Risk assessment of ozone impact on *Fagus crenata* in Japan: consideration of atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *European Journal of Forest Research* 131, 475-484. doi:10.1007/s10342-011-0521-5
- Watanabe, M., Yamaguchi, M., Matsumura, H., Kohno, Y., Koike, T., Izuta, T. (2011) A case study of risk assessment of ozone impact on forest tree species in Japan. *Asian Journal of Atmospheric Environment* 5, 205-215. doi:10.5572/ajae.2011.5.4.205
- Watanabe, T., Izumi, T., Matsuyama, H. (2016) Accumulated phytotoxic ozone dose estimation for deciduous forest in Kanto, Japan in summer. *Atmospheric Environment* 129, 176-185. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.01.016
- Wieser, G., Tegischer, K., Tausz, M., Häberle, K.-H., Grams, T.E.E., Matyssek, R. (2002) Age effects on Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) susceptibility to ozone uptake: a novel approach relating stress avoidance to defense. *Tree Physiology* 22, 583-590.
- Wilkinson, S., Mills, G., Illidge, R., Davies, W.J. (2012) How is ozone pollution reducing our food supply? *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63, 527-536. doi:10.1093/jxb/err317
- Wittig, V.E., Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P. (2007) To what extent do current and projected increases in surface ozone affect photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of trees? A meta-analytic review of the last 3 decades of experiments. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30, 1150-1162. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01717.x
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2000) Air Quality Guidelines for Europe, 2nd ed. Reg. Publ. Eur. Ser., WHO Reg. Off. Eur., Copenhagen.
- Yamaguchi, M., Hoshino, D., Inada, H., Akhtar, N., Sumioka, C., Takeda, K., Izuta, T. (2014) Evaluation of the effects of ozone on yield of Japanese rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) based on stomatal ozone uptake. *Environmental Pollution* 184, 472-480. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.09.024
- Yamaguchi, M., Watanabe, M., Matsumura, H., Kohno, Y., Izuta, T. (2011) Experimental studies on the effects of ozone on growth and photosynthetic activity of Japanese forest tree species. *Asian Journal of Atmospheric Environment* 5, 65-78. doi:10.5572/ajae.2011.5.2.065
- Yu, G.-R., Zhuang, J. i. e., Yu, Z.-L. (2001) An attempt to establish a synthetic model of photosynthesis-transpiration based on stomatal behavior for maize and soybean plants grown in field. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 158, 861-874. doi:10.1078/0176-1617-00177
- Yuan, X., Feng, Z., Liu, S., Shang, B., Li, P., Xu, Y., Paoletti, E. (2017) Concentration- and flux-based dose-

responses of isoprene emission from poplar leaves and plants exposed to an ozone concentration gradient. *Plant, Cell & Environment*. doi:10.1111/pce.13007

Zhang, W., Feng, Z., Wang, X., Liu, X., Hu, E. (2017) Quantification of ozone exposure- and stomatal uptake-yield response relationships for soybean in Northeast

China. *Science of The Total Environment* 599-600, 710-720. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.231

(Received 9 June 2017, revised 28 August 2017, accepted 29 August 2017)